JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Dale Weis, Chair; Janet Sayre Hoeft, Vice-Chair; Don Carroll, Secretary; Paul Hynek, First Alternate; Aari Roberts, Second Alternate

PUBLIC HEARING BEGINS AT **1:00 P.M.** ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2017 IN ROOM 205, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

<u>CALL TO ORDER FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> IS AT 10:15 A.M. IN COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

<u>SITE INSPECTION FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> LEAVES AT 10:30 A.M. FROM COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

1. Call to Order-Room 203 at 10:15 a.m.

Meeting called to order @ 10:15 a.m. by Weis

2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft, Weis

Members absent: ---

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller, Rob Klotz

3. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law Requirements

Klotz acknowledged publication. Staff also presented proof of publication.

4. Approval of the Agenda

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 3-0 (voice vote) to approve the agenda.

5. Approval of December 8, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Weis made motion, seconded by Hoeft, motion carried 3-0 (voice vote) to approve the meeting minutes.

6. Communications and Public Comment - NONE

There was a discussion with Klotz and Board regarding Floodplain/Flood Storage regulations.

7. Site Inspections – Beginning at 10:30 a.m. and Leaving from Room 203 V1593-17 – Jim O'Donnell, N425 Oxbow Bend, Town of Koshkonong V1594-17 – James & Viola Behm, County Road A, Town of Oakland

8. Public Hearing – Beginning at 1:00 p.m. in Room 205

Meeting called to order at 1:00 p.m. by Weis

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft, Weis

Members absent: ---

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller, Rob Klotz

9. Explanation of Process by Board of Adjustment Chair

The following was read into the record by Weis:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 9, 2017 in Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson, Wisconsin. Matters to be heard are applications for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing in any district a use not permitted in that district. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, variances may be granted where strict enforcement of the terms of the ordinance results in an unnecessary hardship and where a variance in the standards will allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. Based upon the findings of fact, the Board of Adjustment must conclude that: 1) Unnecessary hardship is present in that a literal enforcement of the terms of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome; 2) The hardship is due to unique physical limitations of the property rather than circumstances of the applicant; 3) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest as expressed by the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance. PETITIONERS, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, SHALL BE

PRESENT. There may be site inspections prior to public hearing which any interested parties may attend; discussion and possible action shall be occur after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1593-17 – James & Peggy O'Donnell:</u> Variance from Sec. 14:6.3 of the Jefferson County Floodplain Ordinance to allow an attached garage at less than two feet above the 100-year flood elevation and from Sec. 14:5.2 for flood storage requirements as they may pertain to this request. The site is at **N425 Oxbow Bend** in the Town of Koshkonong, on PIN 016-0513-3412-019 (0.35 Acre) and is zoned Residential R-2.

Conor Nelan from Cold Spring Design (222 S Main Street, Fort Atkinson) presented the petition. Also present was James O'Donnell (N425 Oxbow Bend). The garage is attached to the house and has been raised to the elevation of the living area. The garage portion is what needs the variance. To raise it 4 feet would require a ramp without taking out dirt from the flood storage area. This would be for the inside of the garage with a plan for a concrete floor. When he talked with Robert Davis from the DNR, anything inside does not count. The living portion needs to be above the 4 foot elevation. The hardship would be a significant financial hit, and is not logical. It would be taking away from the flood storage volume. Regarding the physical limitations, the house is now out of the flood plain. It would not be contrary to public interest because what they are taking out, they would be putting in.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a decision from the town in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis. Weis also read a response from Robert Davis, DNR, in to the record which was also in the file.

Klotz commented on the DNR being noticed and their requirements for flood storage. There have been multiple conversations regarding this issue between the petitioner, DNR and the Zoning office. Robert Davis from the DNR has no opposition to the request, and has accepted the engineering work done by Conor. The hardship would be that if they were required elevate the floor of the garage at the 200 year flood, they could not meet the flood storage requirements. The floodplain and watershed has many square miles in this area. With what they have submitted, they can meet the flood storage compensation. The petitioner stated that if they could not do this, they would have to build a ramp. Klotz stated that it would be unnecessarily burdensome. If it was a detached garage, it can be at the 100 year floodplain elevation. If it is attached, it needs to be 2 feet above the floodplain elevation. It is a reasonable request. Klotz asked the Board to consider a condition that they follow the flood storage plan as approved by DNR, and that the electric be at 2 feet above the floodplain elevation. Rob Davis and Zoning have no issue with this. The petitioner further explained.

Weis asked for clarification of the elevation of the garage. The petitioner stated that it would be 2 inches above. Carroll noted that they were at the site, and asked the petitioner to explain how the space beneath the house was ventilated. Conor explained there is flood venting. Klotz explained that was required as part of the permit. Conor further explained.

<u>V1594-17 – James & Viola Behm:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.03(d)1 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow the development of a nine acre Natural Resource zone to be served by a 16.5-foot easement. The proposed Natural Resource zone is near **County Road A**, part of PIN 022-0613-2831-002 (50.65 Acres) owned by the Behms, with the easement to be on that PIN as well as on 022-0613-2831-000 (18.31 Acres) owned by Gregg and Jody Peter. These Town Oakland properties are currently zoned A-1, Exclusive Agricultural.

Viola Behm presented her petition. She stated a farmer want to buy the tillable land, and is not interested in the Natural Resources area. They need the variance to get approval for the Natural Resource Zone. There is already 17 acres zoned Natural Resources, and they are planning on rezoning another 9 acres. The farmer would not be interested in all the land and would not purchase the land if he had to buy it all. It was approved at the town, and she talked to the Peters who had no objection.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a town response in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

Zangl gave staff report. He noted that there is an existing Natural Resource there. They want to section off the rest of the Natural Resource area. They are on the February's Planning & Zoning Committee public hearing. But first they have to get the access to it. The ordinance requires access for the parcel. They are asking for a 16.5 foot access strip. Behm noted there is a field driveway that goes directly south. Weis noted there was a drawing in the file and clarified what currently exists and what is being proposed. Zangl also noted there was an air photo in the file which showed what access is there. There was further discussion on the access.

Hoeft asked how the Peters' were involved. The petitioner stated that they share a driveway with them and they have no objections with this request. Klotz clarified that there is already a shared easement and driveway for the existing Natural Resource zone. Klotz explained the Zoning Ordinance requirements for access and added that they are adding to an existing access and existing Natural Resource zone. Klotz further explained that it cannot be built on. There are some allowable structures within the zone, but no residential structures are allowed.

Carroll questioned the drainage ditch within the access. Klotz further explained the access requirement, and that could mean that it can be accessed by foot.

10.	Discussion and Possible Action on Above Petitions (So	ee also fo	llowing
	pages and files)		

11. Adjourn

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Weis, motion carried 3-0 (voice vote) to adjourn @ 1:58 p.m.

If you have questions regarding these variances, please contact the Zoning Department at 920-674-7113 or 920-674-8638. Variance files referenced on this hearing notice may be viewed in Courthouse Room 201 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Materials covering other agenda items can be found at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov.

JEFFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting.

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made.

A	digital	recording	of the	e meeting	will l	be	available	in	the	Zoning	Dep	partment	ироп	request.
---	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	--------	----	-----------	----	-----	--------	-----	----------	------	----------

Additional information on Zoning can be found at w	ww.jeffersoncountywi.gov
Secretary	Date

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.:	2017 V1593
HEARING DATE:	02-09-2017
APPLICANT:	Jim O'Donnell
PROPERTY OWNER:	James W. & Peggy O'Donnell
PARCEL (PIN #):	016-0513-3412-019
TOWNSHIP: Ko	oshkonong
INTENT OF PETITIO	ONER: Allow an attached garage at the 100-year (1%) Regional
Floodplain Elevation at	
	QUESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 14:6.3 & 14:5.2
OF THE JEFFERSON	COUNTY FLOODPLAIN ORDINANCE.
THE FEATURES OF	THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH
	ANT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE:
- Permit #61606	issued 1-6-17 for utilities
D : #61240	. 174446
- Permit #61349 elevation and add an ad	issued 7-11-16 to raise the existing house to the flood protection
cicvation and add an ad	union of 410 sq. 1t.
- Permit #58257	issued 4-26-10 for flood damage
- Floodplain Ord	linance is applicable, variance from 14.6.3
- Property is in t	he Flood Fringe and Flood Storage areas
- 14.7.3 BOA, 14.	7.3(4) BOA Variance from Floodplain Ordinance
FACTS OR OBSERVA	TIONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections
	served property layout & location.
EACTS DDESENITED	AT DIRIIC HEADING. See tone minutes & 51c
FACIS FRESENTED	AT PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.

DECISION STANDARDS

A.		AY BE GRANTED WHIC Y DISTRICT A USE NO'		
В.	ALLOWING A USE	AY BE GRANTED WHIC OF LAND OR PROPER STRATIVE RULES:		
C.	WHERE STRICT E RESULTS IN AN U STANDARDS WILL	ABOVE LIMITATIONS, NFORCEMENT OF TH NNECESSARY HARDSI ALLOW THE SPIRIT O STICE TO BE ACCOMPI	E TERMS OF THE OI HIP & WHERE A VAR OF THE ORDINANCE	RDINANCE IANCE IN THE TO BE OBSERVED,
		INDINGS OF FACT, TH ONS OF THE FLOODPL E THAT:		
1.	OF THE TERMS O PREVENT THE OV PURPOSE OR WOU UNNECESSARILY entitled to a §	ARDSHIP IS PRESENT F THE ZONING ORDIN WNER FROM USING THUS RENDER CONFOR BURDENSOME BECAUGARAGE. This is for access. Recessarily burdensome.	NANCE WOULD UNR HE PROPERTY FOR A MITY WITH SUCH R USE <u>this is not a lival</u>	EASONABLY A PERMITTED ESTRICTIONS ble area. Everyone is
2.	PROPERTY RATH BECAUSE there	DUE TO UNIQUE PHY ER THAN THE CIRCUM are two conflicting ordina ne lot is too small to provide	ASTANCES OF THE A	APPLICANT e floor height/
3.	EXPRESSED BY TI BECAUSE the en The DNR ha petitioner's e	TLL NOT BE CONTRAINE PURPOSE AND INTENDINGUISM INTENDINGUI	ENT OF THE ZONIN ould be removed and what the engineering work oday's hearing. The production of the p	IG ORDINANCE nat would be put back. done by the
A VA	RIANCE MAY BE GI	RANTED IF ALL THESE	E CONDITIONS ARE	MET
DECI	SION: THE REQUE	STED VARIANCE IS GR	ANTED.	
MOTI	ON: Carroll	SECOND: Ho	eft VOTE:	3-0 (voice vote)
		VAL: The electrical outled incering plan as submitted		the flood elevation.
SIGN	ED:	CHAIRPERSON	DATE:_	02-09-2017
BOAR	D DECISIONS MAY	CHAIRPERSON BE APPEALED TO CIR	CUIT COURT. AUDIO	O RECORD OF

THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

APPLICANT:	James V & Viola O Behm	
	•	
PROPERTY OWNER:_	SAME	
PARCEL (PIN #):	022-0613-2831-002 & 022-0613-2831-000	
TOWNSHIP:	Oakland	
INTENT OF PETITION access strip/easement	NER: Access a Natural Resource zone by a 16.5 for	oot wide
-	UESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION <u>11.03(d)</u> NTY ZONING ORDINANCE.	1OF
RELATE TO THE GRA	HE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPER NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE TO THE VARIANCE APPLICATE TO THE NATURAL RESOURCE ZONE CREATED FROM PETITION 2009	ON ARE:
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE TO THE Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009	ON ARE:
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICAT	ON ARE:
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE TO THE Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE are Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 acres to existing N Zone wide access strip following the property line to Coun	ON ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot - Rezone is on Feb FACTS OR OBSERVATI	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE THE Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The wide access strip following the property line to Country's Agenda The acres to existing N Zone The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The acres to existing N Zone The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The acres to exist in 2009	ION ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot - Rezone is on Feb FACTS OR OBSERVATI	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The wide access strip following the property line to Countrary's Agenda The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 20	ION ARE: R3405A,
RELATE TO THE GRA - Existing 16.93 ac approved 6-11-2009 - Propose to add 9 - Propose 16.5 foot - Rezone is on Feb FACTS OR OBSERVATI conducted. Obser	NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATE THE Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The wide access strip following the property line to Country's Agenda The acres to existing N Zone The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The acres to existing N Zone The Natural Resource Zone created from petition 2009 The acres to existing N Zone The acres to exist in 2009	ion ARE: R3405A, aty Road A

DECISION STANDARDS

A.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRA ALLOWING IN ANY DISTRIC				
В.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRA ALLOWING A USE OF LAND LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE	OR PROP	ERTY WHI	CH WOULD VIOI	
C.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LI WHERE STRICT ENFORCEM RESULTS IN AN UNNECESS STANDARDS WILL ALLOW T SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO I VIOLATED.	IENT OF T ARY HARI 'HE SPIRI'	THE TERM OSHIP & WI T OF THE (S OF THE ORDI HERE A VARIAN ORDINANCE TO	NANCE CE IN THE BE OBSERVED,
	BASED ON THE FINDINGS	OF FACT,	THE BOAR	D CONCLUDES T	ГНАТ:
1.	. UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP OF THE TERMS OF THE ZO PREVENT THE OWNER FROM PURPOSE OR WOULD REND UNNECESSARILY BURDENS if not approved.	NING ORI OM USING OER CONF	DINANCE V THE PROI ORMITY W	WOULD UNREAS PERTY FOR A PE TTH SUCH REST	ONABLY RMITTED RICTIONS
2.	2. THE HARDSHIP IS DUE TO PROPERTY RATHER THAN BECAUSE asking for a Natuordinance does.	THE CIRC	CUMSTANC	ES OF THE APPI	LICANT
3.	B. THE VARIANCE WILL NOT I EXPRESSED BY THE PURPO BECAUSE it does not affect residence is allowed to be would be no issue for em	SE AND II public safe e built in th	NTENT OF ty and there he Natural Ro	THE ZONING O is Town Board app esources Zone; the	PRDINANCE proval. No
A V	ARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED I	F ALL THI	ESE COND	ITIONS ARE ME	<u>[</u>
DEC	CISION: THE REQUESTED VAR	IANCE IS	GRANTED		
мот	ΓΙΟΝ: Carroll S	ECOND:	Hoeft	VOTE: 3-0	(voice vote)
CON	NDITIONS OF APPROVAL/DEN	IAL:			
SIGN	NED:CHAIRF	ERSON		DATE:	02-09-2017

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.